Visualization-Specific Compression of Large Volume Data

C. Bajaj\*, I. Ihm\*\* and S. Park\*

\*The Univ. of Texas at Austin, U.S.A. \*\*Sogang University, Korea

# Motivations

### Observations

- Volume data are often very large.
- Lossy compression is often inevitable.
- Sometimes, we wish to visualize some fixed set of coherent features that are concentrated in a few regions.
- For most lossy compression schemes, information on those features is *uniformly* lost regardless of the visualization task to be performed.
- Can we interactively visualize a 2GB volume data set on my computer with 128MB of main memory?

## Question

Is it possible to design a compression scheme that enables to focus more on important voxel, used more frequently during visualization?

## **Research Goals**

### Develop a technique

that classifies voxels according to their importance in the visualization task,

that assigns appropriate weights to voxels, and that uses those weights during lossy compression so that *important* features remain as correct as possible after reconstruction.

## Propose a new framework for lossy compression!

# **Related Work**

There are a lot of results on volume compression.

- Compression is dependent on the spatial properties of images.
- We want to compress volume data in accordance with the purpose of a visualization task.
- It was difficult to find a feature-based compression method in volume visualization.

## Visualization Model

Two popular visualization techniques

- Isosurface extraction: marching cube
- Direct volume rendering: ray casting

Features to be visualized are known beforehand.

A 3D volume data is viewed as a 3D graph (V, E)

- V: a set of all voxels v
- E: a set of all unordered pairs (u, v), where two voxels u and v are 6-neighbors to each other
- d(v): a density function from V to R

# Marching Cube [9]



\*The edge intersection and normal vectors are linearly interpolated along the edge.

\*The correctness of isosurfaces with normals are dependent on the densities of incident voxels of all intersecting edges, and their 6-neighbors.

# Ray Casting [7]



\*When a point inside a cell is resampled, the shaded colors and normal vectors at the eight voxels are tri-linearly interpolated.

\*The correctness of the computed  $(C_i, a_i)$  are dependent on the densities of the eight voxels of all resampled cells, and their 6-neighbors.

## Voxel Classification: Core Voxels

### Density values and density interval of interest

$$D_{is} = \left\{ d_{is}^{i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \right\}: p \text{ density values}$$
$$D_{rc} = \left\{ [d_{rc}^{i0}, d_{rc}^{i3}] \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1 \right\}: q \text{ density interval}$$

### Core voxels

 Voxels that directly affect isosurface extraction and/or ray-casting.

$$V^{c} \equiv V^{c}(D_{is}, D_{rc}) = V^{c}_{is}(D_{is}) \cup V^{c}_{rc}(D_{rc})$$





# Voxel Classification: Gradient Voxels

### Gradient voxels

 Voxels that affect the gradient computations in isosurface extraction and/or ray-casting.

$$V^{g} \equiv V^{g}(D_{is}, D_{rc}) = V^{g}_{is}(D_{is}) \cup V^{g}_{rc}(D_{rc})$$





# Voxel Classification: Unimportant Voxels

### Unimportant voxels

Voxels that are neither core nor gradient

$$V^{u} \equiv V^{u}(D_{is}, D_{rc}) = V - (V^{c} \cup V^{g})$$

## Definition of weight function

### ✤ Goal

 Weight voxels according to their possible usage, or importance in the visualization task.

## $For v in V_{is}^c$

 Give larger weights to voxels whose incident edges are cut through by more isosurfaces.

 $(V_{is}^c)^i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ : the subset of  $V_{is}^c$ , made of core voxels

relative to the *i*th material only





 Preserve well the voxels' densities that actually contribute to color-opacity accumulation during rendering.

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{rc}^{c}(v) = \max_{0 \le i \le q-1} \boldsymbol{a}_{i}(v)$$

Maximum possible value:  $\max_{0 \le i \le q-1} a_{mi}$ 

# $\mathbf{*} \operatorname{For} v \operatorname{in} V_{is}^{g}$

 V's density becomes more important as more isosurfaces pass through the edges incident to the neighbors.

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{is}^{g}(v) = \max_{0 \le i \le p-1} \sum_{w \in N_{6}(v)} \boldsymbol{d}_{is}^{i}(w)$$

Maximum possible value: 36

## $\text{ For } v \text{ in } V_{rc}^{g}$

 Count the number of incident cells that have at least one voxel with nontrivial compute opacity.

 $\boldsymbol{d}_{rc}^{i}(v) = |\{ c \in C \mid c \in C(w) \text{ for some } w \in N_{6}(v) \text{ such that} \\ u \in V_{rc}^{c} \text{ for some } u \in V(c) \}|, \text{ where}$ 

C(w) is all eight cells incident to v

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{rc}^{g}(v) = \max_{0 \le i \le q-1} \boldsymbol{d}_{rc}^{i}(v)$$

Maximum possible value: 32

## Finally, the weight function is

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{v}(v) = f(\boldsymbol{f}_{is}^{c}(v), \boldsymbol{f}_{rc}^{c}(v), \boldsymbol{f}_{is}^{g}(v), \boldsymbol{f}_{rc}^{g}(v))$$

- The function values in the argument list are defined in the different domains.
- They must be normalized according to volume data.

### The UNC Bighead data (256 X 256 X 225)

$$D_{is} = \{ 66, 160 \}$$
$$D_{rc} = \{ [40, 90], [95, 255] \}$$



Opacity tr. ftn. : [40, 45, 88, 90], [95, 135, 253, 255] Gradient tr. Ftn. : [0, 95]



# **Distribution of Weights**



## **Combining the Weight Functions**

Use a heuristic method.
Rescale the four weights.
Control the relative strength of two visualization methods.



 $\boldsymbol{f}_{v}(v) = \max\{\boldsymbol{k}_{is} \cdot (s[1,4,0.7,1.0](\boldsymbol{f}_{is}^{c}(v)) + s[1,20,0.2,0.5](\boldsymbol{f}_{is}^{g}(v))), \\ \boldsymbol{k}_{rc} \cdot (s[0.05,0.6,0.4,1.0](\boldsymbol{f}_{rc}^{c}(v)) + s[1,30,0.1,0.5](\boldsymbol{f}_{rc}^{g}(v)))\}$ 

# **Examples: Combined Weights**



Maximum weight



Summed weight

# **Application to Compression Scheme**

- So far, we've proposed how to weigh voxels with respect to a visualization task.
- How do we use those weights in compressing volume data?
- Consider lossy compression schemes such as
  - Zerobit encoding
  - Vector quantization
  - Etc.

# Zerobit encoding [Bajaj, Ihm, Park '01]

- A new compression scheme that supports the fast random decoding and multi-resolution representation.
- Appropriate for developing real-time/interactive applications that must handle 3D images.
- Based on the simple 3D Haar filter.



## 2D Haar Wavelet Transforms

#### Decomposition

$$w_{00} = (d_{00} + d_{01} + d_{10} + d_{11})/4$$
  

$$w_{01} = (d_{00} + d_{01} - d_{10} - d_{11})/4$$
  

$$w_{10} = (d_{00} - d_{01} + d_{10} - d_{11})/4$$
  

$$w_{11} = (d_{00} - d_{01} - d_{10} + d_{11})/4$$



#### Two level applications



## Wavelet-Based Compression

### ✤ Idea

- Decompose an input data set through wavelet transforms.
- Sort the wavelet coefficients in order of decreasing magnitude.
- Given an error measure, delete as many coefficients with smaller magnitude as possible.

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i \cdot u_i(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{c}_i \cdot \overline{u_i}(x) \quad (\overline{m} \le m)$$

This is the best choice for orthonormal bases under the L2 norm.

## 2D Image Compression Using Haar Wavelets

### Decomposition

### Reconstruction











# Zerobit Encoding (3D RGB)



## Weights in the Wavelet Space

#### Decomposition tree



$d_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$	$d_{_{01}}$	$d_{_{02}}$	$d_{_{03}}$		$W_{00}$	$W_{11}$	$W_{01}$	$W_{21}$
$d_{10}$	$d_{11}$	$d_{12}$	$d_{13}$		$W_{12}$	<i>W</i> <sub>13</sub>	<i>W</i> <sub>22</sub>	<i>W</i> <sub>23</sub>
$d_{20}$	$d_{21}$	$d_{22}$	$d_{23}$		$W_{02}$	<i>W</i> <sub>31</sub>	$W_{03}$	$W_{41}$
$d_{30}$	$d_{31}$	$d_{32}$	$d_{33}$		W <sub>32</sub>	W <sub>33</sub>	$W_{42}$	W43
C D								
$\boldsymbol{f}_{v}(v_{ij}) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{f}_{w}(w_{ij})$								

# Computation of Weight

### Idea

- The wavelet coefficients are important if the corresponding voxels are important.
- All the 4 wavelet coefficients are used simultaneously when any voxel in a 2x2 area.
- Assign the largest of the 4 weights to the 4 wavelets.



- Traverse the decomposition tree in bottom-up fashion.
  - Level 1 detail node

$$f_{w}(w_{ij}) = \max_{k=1,2,\cdots,8} f_{v}(v_{ik})$$
 for  $j = 1,2,\cdots,7$ 

• Level 0 detail node

$$f_{w}(w_{0j}) = \max_{k=1,2,\cdots,8} f_{w}(w_{k1})$$
 for  $j = 1,2,\cdots,7$ 

Level 0 average node

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{w}(w_{00}) = \boldsymbol{f}_{w}(w_{01})$$

# **Truncation of Wavelet Coefficients**

In truncating wavelets,

• use the measure  $|\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{f}_{w}(w_{ijk})) \cdot w_{ijk}|$  instead of  $|w_{ijk}|$ .

Need to rescale the wavelets' weights properly.
In for instance,  $n(f_w(w)) = g_0 + g_1 \cdot f_w(w)$ 

# **Experimental Results - Bighead**

The UNC Bighead data
Resolution: 256X256X225
Specification

$$D_{is} = \{ 66, 160 \}$$
$$D_{rc} = \{ [40, 90], [95, 255] \}$$

Important voxels: 19.89%



Opacity tr. ftn. : [40, 45, 88, 90], [95, 135, 253, 255] Gradient tr. ftn.: [0, 95]

# Quantitative Analysis of Reconstruction Errors

		Ratio of the used wavelet coefficients			
		2%	3%	5%	7%
Unweighed	RMSE*	10.78	8.76	6.38	4.91
Haar	PSNR	27.48	29.28	32.04	34.31
Weighted	RMSE*	6.78	4.86	2.82	1.79
Haar	PSNR	31.50	34.40	39.12	43.06

(\*: density range 0 ~ 255)

# Reconstructed Images (114<sup>th</sup> slice)



# Isosurface Rendering (d = 66)



# Ray Casting ([40,45,88,90], [95,135,253,255])



# Experimental Results – Visible Man (NLM)

A preprocessed FRESH CT data
Resolution: 512X512X512 (512MB)
Specification

$$D_{is} = \{880, 1800\}$$
$$D_{rc} = \{[320, 992], [1120, 2400]\}$$

Important voxels: 23%

```
Opacity tr. ftn. : [320, 800, 960, 992],
       [1120, 1300, 2300, 2400]
Gradient tr. ftn.: [0, 1120]
```





# Quantitative Analysis of Reconstruction Errors

		Ratio of the used wavelet coefficients				
		2%	3%	5%	7%	
Unweighed	RMSE*	66.61	51.55	36.02	27.10	
Haar	PSNR	35.77	38.00	41.11	43.58	
Weighted	RMSE*	46.92	34.21	20.88	13.92	
Haar	PSNR	38.82	41.56	45.85	49.37	

(\*: density range 0 ~ 4095)

Ray Casting

#### Visual quality: Unweighed 7% ~ weighted 3-4%





# Isosurface Rendering (d = 600)



## Discussion

## Presented a new volume compression method.

- Proposed a method for classifying voxels according to their importance in visualization, not their spatial properties.
- Applied the weight information to a compression scheme, called Zerobit encoding.

When the possible visualization features can be pre-determined, our new scheme will be used effectively.

## Future Work

Need a *more scientific* method to determine the coefficients in the various function definitions.
Currently, they are determined experimentally.
Apply our scheme to other compression schemes.
For instance, an enhanced codebook could be built.

# **Related Work**

### \* Ning et al. [Vis. 93]

- Vector quantization
- Muraki [Vis. 92, CG&A 93]
  - 3D Wavelet compression
- Ghavamnia et al. [Vis. 95]
  - Laplacian pyramid
- \* Yeo et al. [IEEE TVCG 95]
  - DCT-based 3D compression
- Thoma et al. [IEEE Mult. 97]
  - Experimental results on 2D compression of VH